

Regulation Section	Comments 45 Day Comment Period	Name of Person and Affiliation	Response	Action
§13694	-The Commenter states that the requirement that a claimant submit a social security number or Individual Taxpayer Identification Number in order to make a claim on the Car Wash Worker Restitution Fund is counter to the legislative intent of the statute in that it creates a barrier for reimbursement of wages to undocumented workers.	JACKIE GOLDBERG, Assemblymember, Written statement rec'd 6/21/05; VILCHEZ, public hearing testimony; MONROE, Bet Tzedek Legal Services, Los Angeles Workers' Advocates Coalition (LAWAC), letter rec'd 6/21/05; GARCIA, NARRO, UCLA Center for Labor Research and Education, letter rec'd 6/21/05; ; FLORES, LAFLA, testimony at public hearing; GARCIA, MCTF, letter rec'd 6/17/05; SUNWOO, MIWON, Letter rec'd 6/20/05; STEHLIK, LA County Neighborhood Legal Services, Letter rec'd 6/21/05;	We disagree. Any individual may request an Individual Taxpayer Identification Number. This should not be a barrier to payment of wages from the Fund. This is a requirement for the Garment Worker Fund and the Farm Labor Contractor Fund as well.	No action.

Regulation Section	Comments 45 Day Comment Period	Name of Person and Affiliation	Response	Action
	<p>-The Commenter states that the section should be clarified so that where there has been an ODA or judgment entered, the employee/claimant should not be required to submit any additional proof prior to making a claim.</p>	<p>RODRIGUEZ, LA County Neighborhood Legal Services, Public hearing testimony; KHAN, South Asian Network, Letter rec'd 6/20/05; Sweatshop Watch, Letter dated 6/17/05; Testimony at public hearing; ARREDONDO, Traber & Voorhees, letter rec'd 6/21/05; MARBLESTONE, APALC, letter rec'd 6/21/05; HUNG, LAFLA, Letter rec'd 6/21/05; public hearing testimony</p> <p>MONROE, Bet Tzedek Legal Services, Los Angeles Workers' Advocates Coalition (LAWAC), letter rec'd 6/21/05; GARCIA, MCTF, letter rec'd 6/17/05; SUNWOO, MIWON, Letter rec'd 6/20/05;</p>	<p>We disagree. The requirement of proof of actual damages is not burdensome since provision is made that it can be proven through declaration. Damages which are recoverable from the Fund include items which may not be part of an ODA or</p>	

Regulation Section	Comments 45 Day Comment Period	Name of Person and Affiliation	Response	Action
	<p>-The Commenter states that 13694(b)(13) which requires proof of actual damages should be deleted since the information required under (b)(15) and (16) should be sufficient.</p>	<p>KHAN, South Asian Network, Letter rec'd 6/20/05; DOMENZAIN, Sweatshop Watch, Letter dated 6/17/05; Testimony at public hearing; ARREDONDO, Traber & Voorhees, letter rec'd 6/21/05; MARBLESTONE, APALC, letter rec'd 6/21/05</p> <p>GARCIA, NARRO, UCLA Center for Labor Research and Education, letter rec'd 6/21/05; FLORES, LAFLA, testimony at public hearing; TELLO, LA County Neighborhood Legal Services, Testimony at public hearing; STEHLIK, LA County Neighborhood Legal Services, Letter rec'd 6/21/05</p>	<p>judgment and may be required so that DLSE can obtain reimbursement for the Fund.</p> <p>See Response above.</p>	

